

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/708,571	03/11/2004	Peng Lee	026018.50271	2570
28172 7	590 06/14/2005	•	EXAMINER	
BUTLER, SNOW, O'MARA, STEVENS & CANNADA PLLC			JAGAN, MIRELLYS	
6075 POPLAR SUITE 500	AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MEMPHIS, TI	N 38119		2859	
			DATE MAILED: 06/14/2005	<b>.</b>

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/708,571	LEE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mirellys Jagan	2859	
The MAILING DATE of this communication and Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	•
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RELEASING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. It 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of this iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONatute, cause the application to become Al	eply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communical BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	tion.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20	0 September 2004.		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ T	his action is non-final.	·	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under			is
Disposition of Claims	·		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-59</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☒ Claim(s) <u>1-59</u> are subject to restriction and/	drawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cord			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum  2. Certified copies of the priority docum  3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur  * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in A priority documents have beer reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	opplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	5\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-30 and 42-59 drawn to a method for inspecting a residential building, classified in class 374, subclass 5.
  - II. Claims 31-41, drawn to a harness, classified in class 224, subclass 101.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the methods as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus.

- Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. If Applicant elects Group I, as stated above, then a further restriction is required since this application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Application/Control Number: 10/708,571

Art Unit: 2859

Species 1, as shown in figures 6B-9.

Species 2, as shown in figures 10A-10C.

Species 3, as shown in figure 11.

Species 4, as shown in figure 16.

Species 5, as shown in figure 20A.

Species 6, as shown in figure 20B.

Species 7, as shown in figure 21A.

Species 8, as shown in figure 22.

Species 9, as shown in figure 24.

Species 10, as shown in figure 26.

Species 11, as shown in figure 28.

Species 12, as shown in figure 30.

Species 13, as described in paragraphs 17-18 of the specification.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-4, 6, 9, 10, 12, 21, and 54-59 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the

Application/Control Number: 10/708,571

Art Unit: 2859

limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

5. A telephone call was made to Susan Fentress on June 11, 2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 2859

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mirellys Jagan whose telephone number is 571-272-2247. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 11AM to 5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Diego Gutierrez can be reached on 571-272-2245. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MJ

June 11, 2005

Mirellys Jagan
Patent Examiner

**Technology Center 2800**